

Chennai Metro Rail Limited
Tender Description: Design, Manufacture, Supply, Testing, Commissioning of Standard Gauge Metro Rolling Stock (78 cars) and Training of Personnel
Tender No. CMRL/PHASE II/SYS/ARE03/2020
Tender ID: 2020_CMRL_605069_1

Addendum No. 3

| S. No. | Part/Section | Clause No. | Original Bid Condition | Revised Bid Condition |
|--------|---------------|----------------|---|--|
| 1 | Part 2 : ERTS | 1.4.5 | During the complete fleet operation conditions of this project, the trains may travel an average of 1,50,000 km per year at a minimum average operating speed of 34 kmph. | During the complete fleet operation conditions of this project, the trains may travel an average of 1,50,000 km per year at a minimum average operating speed of 32 kmph . |
| 2 | Part 2 : ERTS | 6.3.7 | During all door operations and under all power supply conditions, door movements shall be smooth, controlled and devoid of jerks or any violent motion. Linear motor drive may be used for door operation in case of Sliding Door. | During all door operations and under all power supply conditions, door movements shall be smooth, controlled and devoid of jerks or any violent motion. Linear motor drive may be used for door operation in case of Sliding Door. The contractor shall supply Service proven motor drive for Door operation. |
| 3 | Part 2 : ERTS | 14.10.6.7 | The method of downloading data from the event recorder shall be standard wireless means with adequate anti-hacking protection. Additionally, a HDMI or latest compatible interface shall be provided for downloading the data. | The method of downloading data from the event recorder shall be Ethernet or HDMI or USB interface or latest compatible interface shall be provided. |
| 4 | Part 2 : ERTS | 16.9.4 d (iii) | Failure modes and effects analysis for fail-safe and safety-critical circuits | Failure modes and effects Criticality analysis for fail-safe and safety-critical circuits |
| 5 | Part 2 : ERTS | 18.5.4.4 | <p>Failure Modes and Effects Analyses</p> <p>a) The Contractor shall develop a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) [CDRL 18-5]. The FMEA shall provide a systematic, comprehensive, bottom-up evaluation that uses design data to analyze the effects of potential component failures in a system, as installed.</p> <p>b) The FMEA shall assess the impact of failures on subsystem and system operation, and consequently on the operational safety of the transit system. The FMEA shall assess all failures that could cause or contribute to Category I or II hazards.</p> | <p>Failure Modes and Effects Criticality Analyses</p> <p>a) The Contractor shall develop a Failure Modes and Effects Criticality Analysis (FMECA) [CDRL 18-5]. The FMECA shall provide a systematic, comprehensive, bottom-up evaluation that uses design data to analyze the effects, their criticality of potential component failures in a system, as installed.</p> <p>b) The FMECA shall assess the impact of failures on subsystem and system operation, and consequently on the operational safety of the transit system. The FMECA shall assess all failures that could cause or contribute to Category I or II hazards.</p> <p>c) FMECA analysis shall be submitted for both component level FMECA and Functional level FMECA for all Sub-systems and functions of Rolling Stock.</p> |

| S. No. | Part/Section | Clause No. | Original Bid Condition | Revised Bid Condition |
|--------|---------------|------------|--|---|
| 6 | | 18.5.4.7 | <p>Fault-Tree Analyses</p> <p>The Contractor shall perform a Fault-Tree Analyses (FTAs) that quantify the probability of each Category I and II hazard identified in the PHAs. The FTAs shall consider all interfacing items that, in conjunction with the analyzed system, could lead to the occurrence of the identified hazard.</p> | <p>Fault-Tree Analyses</p> <p>The Contractor shall perform a Fault-Tree Analyses (FTAs) that quantify the probability of each Category I and II hazard identified in the PHAs. The FTAs shall consider all interfacing items that, in conjunction with the analyzed system, could lead to the occurrence of the identified hazard.</p> <p>FTA shall comply with IEC 61025.</p> |
| 7 | Part 2 : ERTS | 18.6.3.4 | Where appropriate, the Contractor shall include additional reliability analysis to shown how compliance with the reliability requirements is to be achieved. Such analysis may include reliability block diagrams, reliability FMEA, etc | Where appropriate, the Contractor shall include additional reliability analysis to shown how compliance with the reliability requirements is to be achieved. Such analysis may include reliability block diagrams, reliability FMECA , etc |
| 8 | Part 2 : ERTS | 18.6.8 | | <p>New Clause:</p> <p>18.6.8 Reliability for Retrofits/Modifications</p> |
| 9 | Part 2 : ERTS | 18.6.8.1 | | <p>New Clause:</p> <p>18.6.8.1 In case of any retrofits or modifications done by RS contractor or their sub-supplier in any specific system / sub- system / function / component / software of any train or on spares, these retrofit / modifications shall be applicable to specific system / sub-system / function / component / software for all other trains and spares supplied under the contract.</p> |
| 10 | Part 2 : ERTS | 18.6.8.2 | | <p>New Clause:</p> <p>18.6.8.2 In case of any retrofits or modifications done by RS contractor or their sub-supplier in any specific system / sub- system / function / component / software of any train or spares, these specific system / sub-system / function / component / software shall be subjected to 24 months warranty from the date of completion of retrofit / modification in that train or the specific spares. This is in order to mitigate any issues that would arise due to the retrofit / modification. This specific 24 months warranty is irrespective of the train DNP / DLP / warranty.</p> |
| 11 | Part 2 : ERTS | 18.7.7.1 | $\%Availability = 1 - \left(\frac{DT(SC) + DT(OPM) + DT (CM)}{Total\ Time} \right) * 100$ | $\% Availability = \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{DT(SC) + DT(OPM) + DT (CM)}{Total\ Time} \right) \right\} * 100$ |

| S. No. | Part/Section | Clause No. | Original Bid Condition | Revised Bid Condition |
|--------|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Part 2 : ERTS | Table 21-1 Design Deliverables Milestone | CDRL 18-5 Failure Modes and Effects Analyses | CDRL 18-5 Failure Modes and Effects Criticality Analyses (FMECA) |
| 13 | Part 2 : ERTS Addendum 2 Point 89 | Appendix C 2.4.47 | <p>The operation of the mechanical coupling in the front and rear end automatic couplers of the rake shall be completely automatic when coupling and un-coupling between rakes.</p> <p>With one uncoupling command from TCMS & RSC console of OCC / BCC / DCC, it shall enable sequential dis-engagement of electrical head, pneumatic head and mechanical head uncoupling within each front end coupler of train. Uncoupling shall be possible only when the rake is stationary.</p> <p>In front-end automatic coupling operation, RS Contractor shall ensure that the operation of the electrical head coupling occurs only after effective and successful engagement of both mechanical and pneumatic coupling between rakes. There shall always be a manual command provision from TCMS and RSC console of OCC/BCC/DCC to allow/not-allow the engagement of electrical heads between rakes after effective and successful mechanical & pneumatic coupling.</p> <p>As trains shall operate in UTO mode (with GoA 4), automatic couplers shall be used in such a way that in all degraded operation modes, in general operational modes and in emergency cases, no manual intervention at coupler is required to couple/uncouple the defective train with healthy train (and vice-versa) in the complete alignment of CMRL Phase 2.</p> <p>Interface plan to address the procedures to be adopted for rescuing the immobile train on line by un manned & un attended coupling of the failure train with healthy train and clearing the line in Pull/Push mode with healthy train. These procedures shall be proposed for UTO and Non-UTO modes of operations.</p> | Coupling of trains for call-on or push out shall normally be performed in RM mode. |
| 14 | Addendum 01 SI no : 20 | | ERTS Clause 1.2.6 The at-grade, underground and elevated sections have ballast less track in mainline and Ballasted track in depots. | <u>ERTS Clause 1.3.6</u> The at-grade, underground, Madhavaram Depot and elevated sections have ballast less track and Ballasted track in Poonamalle depot. |

| S. No. | Part/Section | Clause No. | Original Bid Condition | Revised Bid Condition |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| 15 | Addendum 01 SI no : 28 | | ERTS Clause 2.9.5 | <u>ERTS Clause 2.9.4 (Table 2-4)</u> |
| 16 | Addendum 01 SI no : 46 | | New clause included : 3.4.23 : The psophometric current of one 3 car train at full rated power shall not exceed 5 amperes. Psophometric current of train shall be measured according to the requirements of EN 50121-3-1 and ITU-T O.41. | Clause deleted. |
| 17 | Part 2 : ERTS | Appendix D Alignment | | Revised Alignment data for Corridor 3, Corridor 4 & Corridor 5 is attached in the below link. ALIGNMENT DRAWINGS |
| 18 | Part 3 : PCC | 11.3 | Replace sub-clause 11.3 with the following: The Employer shall be entitled subject to Sub-Clause 2.5 [Employer's Claims] to an extension of the DNP for the Works or a Section if and to the extent that the Works, Section or a major item of Plant (as the case may be, and after taking over) (a)does not meet the reliability targets set in ERTS clause 18.6. If the Works or a Section cannot be used by reason of such defect and/or making good of such defect, the Defect Notification Period of the Works or a Section, as the case may be, shall be extended by a period equal to the period during which the Works or a Section cannot be used by the Employer because of any of the aforesaid reasons or until the reliability targets set in ERTS clause 18.6 is met, whichever is later." | Replace sub-clause 11.3 with the following: The Employer shall be entitled subject to Sub-Clause 2.5 [Employer's Claims] to an extension of the DNP for the Works or a Section if and to the extent that the Works, Section or a major item of Plant (as the case may be, and after taking over) (a)does not meet the reliability targets set in ERTS clause 18.6. The Defect Notification Period of the Works or a Section, as the case may be, shall be extended until the reliability targets set in ERTS clause 18.6 is met. In case of any retrofits or modifications done by the Contractor or their sub-supplier in any specific system / sub- system / function / component / software of any train or spares, these specific system / sub-system / function / component / software shall be subjected to 24 months warranty from the date of completion of retrofit / modification in that train or spares. This is in order to mitigate any issues that would arise due to the retrofit / modification. This specific 24 months warranty is irrespective of the train DNP / DLP / warranty. |
| 19 | Part 1 : BDS | ITB 1.1 | | New Clause Inserted : Order Quantity of Rolling Stock : 78 cars (26 Trainsets of 3 car configuration) . |

| S. No. | Part/Section | Clause No. | Original Bid Condition | Revised Bid Condition |
|--------|---------------|------------|---|---|
| 20 | Part 1 : BF | Sec. 2 | <p>Schedule of Adjustment Data (Table A & Table B)</p> <p>Non-adjustable (Fixed) (Weightage : 0.33)</p> <p>Labour (Weightage : 0.10)</p> <p>Stainless steel (Or) Aluminium (Weightage : 0.20)</p> <p>Carbon Steel (Weightage : 0.30)</p> <p>Copper (Weightage : 0.07)</p> | <p>Schedule of Adjustment Data (Table A & Table B)</p> <p>Non-adjustable (Fixed) (Weightage : 0.33)</p> <p>Labour (Weightage : 0.10 ~ 0.25)</p> <p>Stainless steel (Or) Aluminium (Weightage : 0.15 ~ 0.25)</p> <p>Carbon Steel (Weightage : 0.10 ~ 0.30)</p> <p>Copper (Weightage : 0.04 ~ 0.10)</p> <p>Note : in case if the bidder fills in weightages whose sum is not equal to 0.67 (excluding Nonadjustable), then CMRL will adjust the indices on pro-rata basis based on bidder's submission.</p> |
| 21 | Part 1 : BF | 3.2.2 | <p>“b”, “c”, “d”, “e” and “f” are coefficients representing the estimated proportion of each cost element (Stainless steel/Aluminium, Copper, Carbon steel and Labour) in the Works or sections thereof, as specified in Schedule of Adjustment Data in Section IV - Bidding forms.</p> | <p>“b”, “c”, “d”, “e” and “f” are coefficients representing the estimated proportion of each cost element (Stainless steel/Aluminium, Copper, Carbon steel and Labour) in the Works or sections thereof, as quoted by the bidder in ‘Schedule of Adjustment Data’ in Section IV - Bidding forms.</p> |
| 22 | Part 2 : ERTS | 2.9.4 | | Revised clause 2.9.4 and its Tables are as below, |

2.9.4 Track Maintenance

The tolerances within which the main track will be maintained is provided in the Schedule of Dimensions in Appendix D.

Table 2-2 contains information on the track design values.

Table 2-3 provides information on the track structure parameter;

Table 2-4 provides information on the track tolerances and

Table 2-5 provides information on the platform interfaces.

Table 2-2: Track Design Values

| Dimension | Maximum | Minimum |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Track gauge | 1,435 mm (nominal) | |
| Horizontal curve radius: Mainline | | |
| a) Under Ground Sections | ---- | 200 m (minimum) |
| b) Elevated and At grade Sections | ---- | 120 m (minimum) |
| c) Depot and Sidings at Stations | | 100 m (minimum) |
| Minimum radius of vertical curve | | 1,500 m |
| Cant deficiency | 85 mm | ---- |
| Cant | 110 mm | ---- |
| Cant gradient | — | 1 in 440 |
| Gradient | 0.25% (1 in 400) | |
| At station | 2.5%(1 in 40) | ---- |
| At turnout | 4 % (1 in 25) | ---- |
| Other sections in Mainline | Including compensation level | |
| Depot | Level | |
| Rate of grade change | - | 1 in 440 |
| Rail type: CWR | 60E 1 Profile as per IRS T 12 – 2009 (With Latest Amendments/ Correction Slips) canted at 1 in 20 | |
| Platform curve | 1000m | — |

Track characteristics

The Track Structure Parameters for At-grade, Elevated and Underground sections are set out in Table 2.3.

Table No 2-3: Track Structure Parameters

| Description | Elevated and at-grade sections | Tunnel sections |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Track Laying Gauge | 1435mm ± 2mm | |
| Rail Type | | |
| Main Line | 60E 1 Head hardened as per IRS T 12 - 2009 With All Amendments / Correction Slips. (1080 deleted as per latest draft no more 1080) | |
| Depot | 60E 1 (880 Grade) as per IRS T 12 – 2009 With All latest Amendments / Correction Slips. | |
| Rail Profile | 60 E1 Profile | |
| Inclination Of Rail | 1 / 20 | |
| Rail Seat spacing, Main line | Nominal | 650 mm ± 5 mm |
| Sleeper Spacing, depot | 650mm± 5 mm; Inspection Lines 1000 mm | |
| Ballast Cushion | | |
| Depot | Ballast less Track in Madhavaram Depot Ballasted Track in Poonamalle Depot | |
| Rail Panel Lengths | Continuous welded rails | |
| Minimum Radius of Curvature | Depot-100m Main line -At grade and elevated- 120m | 200m |
| Minimum Turn Out Radius. Main Line | 140m | |
| Minimum Turn Out Depot | Madhavaram Depot : 1 in 5 100 R Poonamalle Depot : 1 in 5 100 R, | |
| Minimum Turn Out Main line | 1 in 7 R 140 | |
| Maximum Cant Permissible in curves | 110 mm | |
| Maximum Cant Deficiency Permissible | 85mm | |
| Minimum Permissible Cant Gradient | 1 in 440 | |

| Description | Elevated and at-grade sections | Tunnel sections |
|--|---|------------------------|
| Turn-out Speed : Turn-out (Main line) | 1 in 9 R 300=45 kmph; 1 in 9 R 190=35 kmph 1 in 7 R 190=35 kmph; 1 in 7 R 140=25 kmph | |
| Maximum Gradient (Main Line) | 4% Including Grade Compensation. | |
| Minimum vertical curve radius crest | 1500m | |
| Maximum track axle load (AW4) | 16 tons | |
| Widening of track Gauge on curves | Up to 9 mm | |
| Structural gauge and passing clearance in straight line, in curves, in open air grade, in tunnel | As per SOD of CMRL Refer to Appendix D of this document for typical Sections | |
| Tunnel Profile | As per SOD of CMRL Drawings showing section of cut and cover and bored tunnel in the Underground sections and details of various equipment's/cables etc located therein are mentioned in are enclosed in Appendix D | |
| Line profile | The drawings showing the line profiles of all corridors are enclosed in Appendix D of this document: | |

The Track tolerances for At-grade, Elevated and Underground sections are set out in Table 2.4. Final track tolerances will be confirmed by CMRL during the preliminary design of the vehicle.

Table 2-4Track Tolerances:

| Description | Ballasted | Ballast-less (DFF) |
|---|------------------|--|
| Laying Tolerance of Vertical Alignment measured by 10m chord (Designed level) | ± 4 mm | ± 4 mm |
| Alignment (Laying) (Base 10m) | ±5mm | (±4mm for 20m chord as per CMRL maintenance manual) |
| Cross Level Laying Tolerance (Designed) | ±3mm | +\\-2mm |

| Description | Ballasted | Ballast-less (DFF) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Twist (Other than transition curve) | 1mm/250mm | Target value not to exceed 6mm over 3m; isolated locations up to 5mm over 3m; Threshold value for speed restrictions 10mm over 3m. |
| Cross Level Difference (Maintenance) | 15mm | 10 mm |
| Gauge measured at a point 14mm below crown of rail (laying) (with respect to 1435 mm) | -6to +6 mm | Target value +6 / -6 mm; Threshold value for speed restrictions +20mm / -10mm |
| Unevenness (Maintenance) – 3 ,m chord | 3 ,m chord : 15mm | Target value not to exceed 6 mm; isolated locations up to 10 mm; Threshold value for speed restrictions 15mm. |
| Alignment (Maintenance) (Base 7.5m) | 15mm | Target value not to exceed 5 mm; isolated locations up to 10 mm; Threshold value for speed restrictions 15mm . |
| Gauge variation maintenance (sleeper to sleeper) | -6/+6mm | (±6mm as per CMRL maintenance manual) |
| Gauge (Maintenance) – Tangent track (with respect to 1435 mm) | -10 to + 20 mm | Target value +6 / -6 mm; Threshold value for speed restrictions +20mm / -10mm |
| Gauge (Maintenance) - >500m radius (with respect to 1435 mm) | -10mm to -20mm over widened gauge | -10 to +20mm over widened gauge |
| Gauge (Maintenance) - <500m radius (with respect to 1435 mm) | -10 to + 20 mm | -10 to +20mm over widened gauge |
| Gauge Face Wear | 8mm | 8mm |

Platform interfaces are set out in Table 2.5

Table 2-5: Platform interfaces:

| Particulars | Measurements |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| Length | 136 m (6 coaches) |
| Width: Island type | 8.0 to 12.0 m |

| | | |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Width: Side type | | 4.0 to 6.0 m |
| Height above Top of Rail level | Ballasted Track | 1095mm to 1085mm |
| | Ballast-less Track | 1095mm to 1085mm |
| Distance between track center and platform edge | | In underground -1510mm-1515mm In Elevated and At grade- 1515-1520mm |
| Minimum horizontal curvature at platform | | 1000m |
| Structural gauge and passing clearance in platform | | Refer to Appendix D of this document |